

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,245	04/23/2001	J. Edward Cichanowicz	023407-00000	4003
7590 06/22/2004			EXAMINER	
	KINTNER PLOTKIN	GORT, ELAINE L		
Suite 600 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5339			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Advisory Action

		-p
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/839,245	CICHANOWICZ, J. EDWARD	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Elaine Gort	3627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a tin condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	nely filed amendment which places the application in
PERIOD FOR REPLY	[check either a) or b)]
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILEI 706.07(f).	O WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the she (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	ortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or rithan three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>05 April 2004</u> . Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192)	s Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 91(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because	e:
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require further cor	sideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below)	);
<ul> <li>(c)    they are not deemed to place the application in bett issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	er form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for recording application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Content of the Con</u>	nsideration has been considered but does NOT place the tinuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) explanation of how the new or amended claims would b	☐ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an e rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved	or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(	PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:	ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant arguments do not overcome the art rejection. Note: no amendments to the claims are presented, only a copy of the current claims.